PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

						
Applicant's or agent's file reference P03020WO.1P		FOR FURTHER A	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No.			International filing da	te (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/DE2004/001253			17.06.200	4	22.07.2003	
International Pate	ent Classification	(IPC) or natio	onal classification and	IPC		
H04M1/6	0, H04M1	L/725,	H04M3/42			
Applicant DEUTSCH	Applicant DEUTSCHE TELECOM AG					
 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 						
2. This R	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
3. This re	port is also accom	npanied by Al	NNEXES, comprising:			
a. 🗵	7				sheets, as follows:	
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative					
	Instruc sheets	•	ede earlier sheets hut	which this Authority co	nsiders contain an amendment that goes beyond	
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental					
	Box.					
b	(sent to the	International .	Bureau only) a total of	(indicate type and numb	per of electronic carrier(s))	
, containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).						
4. This re			ing to the following iter	ns:		
				=-		
	Box No. I	Basis of the	report			
	Box No. II	Priority				
	Box No. III	Non-establi	shment of opinion with	h regard to novelty, inve	ntive step and industrial applicability	
[Box No. IV	Lack of uni	ity of invention			
	Box No. V		tatement under Article de explanations support		velty, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
	Box No. VI	Certain doc	cuments cited			
	Box No. VII		ects in the international	•••		
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application						
Date of submission of the demand				Date of completion of	this report	
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP				Authorized officer		
Foodimila No.				Telephone No		

Translation

International application No.
PCT/DE2004/001253

Box	No. I		Basis of the report	1	
1.			o the language, this report is based on the internation er this item.	nal application in the language in v	which it was filed, unless otherwise
			port is based on translations from the original langua s the language of a translation furnished for the purp	· · · · · -	,
		ir	nternational search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))		
		p	ublication of the international application (Rule 12.4)	ı	•
		∐ ir	nternational preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/	or 55.3)	
2.	recei	iving Off report):	to the elements of the international application, this ice in response to an invitation under Article 14 are transfer and application as originally filed/furnished		
	\boxtimes		cription:		
		pages	2-24		as originally filed/furnished
		pages*	1,1a	received by this Authority on	16.11.2005 with letter of 16.11.2005
		pages*		received by this Authority on	
	\boxtimes	the clai	ms:	·	_
		nos.			as originally filed/furnished
		nos.*		as amended (together	r with any statement) under Article 19
		nos.*	1-49	received by this Authority on	16.11.2005 with letter
		nos.*			
	\boxtimes	the dra	wings:		
		sheets	1/2,2/2		as originally filed/furnished
		sheets*		received by this Authority on	
		sheets*			
		a seane	ence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplem	•	isting.
2	\sqcap		mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		•
J.	J		he description, pages		
			he claims, nos.		
		$\overline{\Box}$	-		
			he sequence listing (specify):		
			any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	and the second	
4.			eport has been established as if (some of) the amend	Iments annexed to this report and	I listed below had not been made, since
	لــا	they ha	ave been considered to go beyond the disclosure as fi	led, as indicated in the Supplement	ntal Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
			he description, pages		
			he claims, nos.		
			he drawings, sheets/figs		
			he sequence listing (specify):		
*	If it	em 4 app	lies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "sup	erseded."	

International application No.
PCT/DE2004/001253

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1.	Statement			
	Novelty ((N) Claims 1-49	YES	
		Claims		
	Inventive	re step (IS) Claims	YES	
ı		Claims 1-49		
	Industria			
	musiria	al applicability (IA) Claims 1-49 Claims		
2.	Citations and	nd explanations (Rule 70.7)		
	1.	This report makes reference to the followin	g	
		documents:		
		D1: US 2002/071396 A1 (LEE JAU YOUNG ET AL)		
		13 June 2002 (2002-06-13)		
		D2: US-A-5 581 600 (ELLISTON DAVE M ET AL)		
		3 December 1996 (1996-12-03)		
		D3: US-B1-6 377 825 (KENNEDY PATRICK J ET A	L)	
		23 April 2002 (2002-04-23)		
		D4: WO 97/50222 A (MCI COMMUNICATIONS CORP)		
		31 December 1997 (1997-12-31)		
		D5: WO 03/041440 A (INFORMATION H; YANG SOO	HYUN	
		(KR)) 15 May 2003 (2003-05-15)		
	2.	The present application does not meet the		
ļ		requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because t	he	
		subject matter of claims 1 and 22 does not	involve	
		an inventive step within the meaning of PCI	•	
		Article 33(3).		
	2.1	D1 shows (as per the essential features of	claim	
		1) a process using a telecommunication term		
		device (108), more particularly a mobile		

International application No.
PCT/DE2004/001253

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

telecommunication terminal device (108), wherein at least for the duration of a communication link (cf. the abstract: "dynamically loading a software-defined vocoder into a handset") at least one program for implementing a speech-processing algorithm (paragraph [0033]: "one or more software-defined vocoders...are stored in the BSC...and then downloaded") is at least temporarily downloaded by a service server into the telecommunication terminal device (paragraph [0014]: "software-defined vocoders may be stored in the network and downloaded into the handset, or...may be stored in the handset itself") and implemented for use (paragraph [0033]: "for encoding and decoding voice signals").

The subject matter of claim 1 **differs** from the disclosure of D1 in that <u>downloading</u> is based on the telecommunication terminal device and/or the environmental conditions thereof.

The **technical problem** may therefore be considered that of <u>configuring downloading in a terminal</u> specific manner.

However, the **solution** is considered to be of the kind known to a person skilled in the art as an obvious alternative: namely, communication of the identity of the terminal used, not that of the polling network used. Thus, a person skilled in the art would modify the teaching of D1 such that the type of polled terminal, not the polling

International application No.
PCT/DE2004/001253

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

network, is communicated to the service server as a parameter and thereby arrive at the subject matter of claim 1 without being inventive.

Consequently, the subject matter of claim 1 lacks inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)) and thus fails to meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1).

2.2 Independent claim 22 uses structural definitions to describe the same subject matter as that described in claim 1: namely, a system for providing hands-free communication. The service server is located in the BSC (cf. paragraph [0033]). A defined requirement signal is disclosed in figure 4 ("NOTIFICATION OF NETWORK TYPE") which, as argued in 2.1 above, need be modified by a person skilled in the art only to communicate the terminal type.

Therefore, the subject matter of claim 22 also lacks inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)) and fails to meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1).

3. The additional features of dependent claims 2-21 and 23-49 represent simple technical measures which do not make an inventive contribution or pertain to merely structural features which are either directly deducible from the above-indicated prior art or represent standard measures not exceeding general technical knowledge: for example, connection via a communication network (D1, figure 1A), conversion between different

International application No.
PCT/DE2004/001253

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
	frequency bands (D1, paragraph [0026]) or the
	definition of a telecommunication terminal device
,	(D1, figure 3).
4.	The subject matter of claims 1-49 is industrially
	applicable and therefore meets the requirements of
	PCT Article 33(4).
I	

International application No.
PCT/DE2004/001253

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

1. Claim 1 should have been drafted in the two-part form defined by PCT 6.3(b). The features known in combination from the prior art (D1) (temporary downloading by a service server and implementation of a program for the duration of a communication link) should have been placed in the preamble (PCT Rule 6.3(b)(i)) and the remaining features specified in the characterizing part (PCT Rule 6.3(b)(ii)).

International application No.
PCT/DE2004/001253

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

1. Claims 1 and 22: note that the expression "more particularly" does not restrict the scope of protection. On the contrary, its use can lead to ambiguity in the interpretation of the relevant features and consequent lack of clarity in the definition of the subject matter. Therefore, such expressions should not be used in the claims (cf. PCT Guidelines, paragraph II-5.40).